

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
WESTERN DIVISION

BLAKE BEST,
Plaintiff,

v.

MOBILE STREAMS, INC., *et al.*,
Defendants.

Case No. 1:12-cv-564

Barrett, J.
Litkovitz, M.J.

ORDER


There are currently a number of pending motions before the Court related to plaintiff's first amended complaint. As the undersigned has granted plaintiff's motion to file a second amended complaint, *see* Doc. 93, all motions pertaining to the first amended complaint are denied as moot. Specifically, the following motions:

- (1) plaintiff's motion to reissue summons (Doc. 13);
- (2) plaintiff's motion to extend time for service (Doc. 16);
- (3) plaintiff's amended motion to extend time for service (Doc. 23);
- (4) plaintiff's second amended motion to extend time for service (Doc. 27);
- (5) plaintiff's motion for default (Doc. 53);
- (6) defendant Funmobile, Ltd.'s motion to strike plaintiff's motion for default (Doc. 54);
- (7) defendant Mobilefunster, Inc.'s motion to dismiss (Doc. 57);
- (8) defendant Funmobile, Ltd.'s motion to dismiss (Doc. 59);
- (9) plaintiff's motion to file a supplemental memorandum in opposition to Funmobile Ltd.'s motion to dismiss (Doc. 69);
- (10) plaintiff's motion to dismiss Mobilefunster, Inc.'s counterclaims (Doc. 74); and
- (11) plaintiff's motion to strike Mobilefunster, Inc.'s affirmative defenses (Doc. 75)

are denied as moot.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 3/12/13


Karen L. Litkovitz
United States Magistrate Judge